

Minutes

Ordinary Council Meeting

Monday, 20th November 2023

**City of Kingston
Ordinary Council Meeting**

Minutes

20 November 2023

Table of Contents

1.	Apologies	3
2.	Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meetings	3
3.	Foreshadowed Declaration by Councillors, Officers or Contractors of any Conflict of Interest	3
	<i>[Note that any Conflicts of Interest need to be formally declared at the start of the meeting and immediately prior to the item being considered – type and nature of interest is required to be disclosed – if disclosed in writing to the CEO prior to the meeting only the type of interest needs to be disclosed prior to the item being considered.]</i>	
4.	Petitions	3
5.	Delegates' Reports, Councillor Statements and Presentation of Awards.....	4
6.	Question Time.....	5
7.	Planning and Place Reports.....	12
8.	Community Strengthening Reports	21
9.	Infrastructure and Open Space Reports	23
10.	Customer and Corporate Support Reports	27
11.	Chief Finance Office Reports	28
12.	Notices of Motion	29
13.	Urgent Business.....	30
14.	Confidential Items	30

**City of Kingston
Ordinary Council Meeting**

Minutes

20 November 2023

The meeting commenced at 7.02pm in the Council Chamber, 1230 Nepean Highway, Cheltenham.

Present: Cr Jenna Davey-Burns (Mayor)
Cr Tracey Davies (Deputy Mayor)
Cr Tamsin Bearsley
Cr Tim Cochrane
Cr David Eden
Cr Chris Hill (attended online)
Cr Cameron Howe (attended online)
Cr George Hua
Cr Georgina Oxley
Cr Hadi Saab
Cr Steve Staikos

In Attendance: Peter Bean, Chief Executive Officer
Jonathan Guttmann, General Manager Planning and Place
Dan Hogan, General Manager Customer and Corporate Support
Samantha Krull, General Manager Infrastructure and Open Space
Sally Jones, General Manager Community Strengthening
Bernard Rohan, Chief Financial Officer
Alfred Carnovale, Manager City Development
Kate Waters, Manager Inclusive Communities
Kelly Shacklock, Manager Governance, Risk and Integrity
Patrick O’Gorman, Governance Officer
Gabrielle Pattenden, Governance Officer

1. Apologies

There were no apologies submitted to the meeting.

2. Confirmation of Minutes of Previous Meetings

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Saab

That the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting held on 23 October 2023 and the Council (Statutory) Meeting held on 8 November 2023 be confirmed.

CARRIED

3. Foreshadowed Declaration by Councillors, Officers or Contractors of any Conflict of Interest

There were no Conflicts of Interest submitted to the meeting.

4. Petitions

Nil

5. Delegates' Reports

There were no delegates' reports.

Councillor Statements

Cr Eden acknowledged the presence of Chelsea SES members in the gallery and congratulated SES member Kimba who took part in a short film which won the Best Short Film award at the Focus on Ability Film Festival.

Presentation of Awards

The Mayor announced that Kingston City Council recently won an award in the 2023 Project Management Awards held by the Australian Institute of Project Management in the Transformation Projects Category for the creation of its Project Management Office.

Procedural Motion

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Saab

That the order of business be altered to consider item 8.1 prior to item 6.

CARRIED

8.1 Kingston Grants Program Policy and Program Guidelines

Moved: Cr Oxley

Seconded: Cr Bearsley

That Council:

1. Endorse the Kingston Grants Program Policy;
2. Endorse the Kingston Grants Program Guidelines 2024-25 and authorise officers to make annual operational changes;
3. Officers commence the implementation of the developed communications and stakeholder engagement plan as per section 3.3 of the officers' report;
4. Endorse a staged funding reduction for 2021-2024 Partnership Grant recipients where the amount funded in the 2024-2027 Operational & Partnership grant is reduced more than 25%, or an amount of \$10,000;
5. Approve the extension of the funding model as per the Kingston Partnership Grants - Funding Agreement 2021-2024, for the period of 2024-2027 for the Victorian State Emergency Service – Moorabbin Unit and Victorian State Emergency Service – Chelsea Unit, respectively;
6. Engage in further discussion with the SES and relevant stakeholders regarding an ongoing and sustainable funding model for the SES post-2027;
7. Write to the Minister of Emergency Services and any other relevant Minister advocating further for increased support and funding for the local SES units and to continue this advocacy on an ongoing basis; and
8. Increase the available funding cap for Community Centres and Neighbourhood Houses from \$90,000 to \$100,000, modify the "Additional" funding in relation to SEIFA rating by suburb so that >25th percentile = 10% on base, and <25th

percentile = 20% on base. (Noting the “Qualitative” is the remainder of the available funding between the base and the additional up to the cap of \$100,000).

Cr Howe left the meeting at 7.18pm.

Cr Howe returned to the meeting at 7.22pm.

CARRIED unanimously

6. Question Time

Question time was held at 7.34pm.

Cr Bearsley left the meeting at 7.35pm.

Cr Oxley left the meeting at 7.36pm.

Cr Oxley returned to the meeting at 7.37pm.

Cr Howe left the meeting at 7.37pm.

Cr Hua left the meeting at 7:39pm.

Cr Hua returned to the meeting at 7:43pm.

Cr Bearsley returned to the meeting at 7.44pm.

Cr Howe returned to the meeting at 7.47pm.

Cr Howe left the meeting at 7:52pm.

Cr Eden left the meeting at 7:54pm.

Cr Cochrane returned to the meeting at 7.54pm.

Cr Eden returned to the meeting at 7:54pm.

Wandzia of Parkdale asked,

“On 25 September 2023, I asked a question about your \$100,000 spend on “Smart City Initiative – foreshore parking sensors” and how they worked. Your reply was that these had no cameras, no facial recognition and no number plate recognition. You said they simply sense if a car park space is empty. People parking cars have always had the capacity to judge this for themselves, so I ask, is the \$100,000 spend on these sensors justified economically? Who made this decision – name please? What reason is there for a sensor like this?”

The General Manager Infrastructure and Open Space provided the following response,

“In response to the parking sensors, Council adopted a budget of \$100,000 for Smart City Initiatives, and a total of \$57,000 was allocated toward installing parking sensors in Gnotuk Avenue car park, Aspendale. Installation of parking sensors in this foreshore car park aimed to tackle traffic challenges in an area with narrow local street accessing a busy carpark in summer. The investment enhances the parking experience by providing real-time information on available spaces which is displayed on the message board at the intersection of Gnotuk Avenue/Nepean Highway. This prevents congestion in Gnotuk Avenue, as drivers are informed before entering. The upgrade to parking sensors in the car park helps to mitigate past issues of inaccurate reporting vacant spaces and contributes to safer traffic flow.”

Alex Breskin of Mordialloc asked,

“Could Council please report on progress in establishing an LGBTIQ+ advisory committee and developing an LGBTIQ+ action plan in line with the resolution of the 24 May 2021 Council meeting?”

The General Manager Community Strengthening provided the following response,

“Establishing an LGBTIQ+ Advisory Committee is being fulfilled through integration with Council’s Access and Equity Advisory Committee, with an LGBTIQ+ subcommittee to be convened a minimum of twice a year. This approach is supported by Rainbow Local Government. The Access and Equity Advisory Committee currently has two LGBTIQ+ representatives. A recent recruitment drive resulted in five applications received from LGBTQIA+ community members or allies. Applicants are currently being interviewed with new appointments to commence in February 2024. An LGBTQIA+ subcommittee will be convened in the first half of 2024.

Council’s commitment to developing an LGBTIQ+ Action Plan is being fulfilled through integration with the annual Health Plan Action Plan which implements the Municipal Public Health and Wellbeing Plan 2021-2025. Rainbow Local Government has confirmed this approach is compliant with the commitments. The [Year 3 Health Plan Action Plan](#), endorsed by Council in June, includes 11 actions targeted to the LGBTIQ+ community.”

Julia of Dingley Village asked,

“How often is Kingston Council audited. Which accounting firm does the auditing? Is it an independent business and not accountable or associated with Kingston Council, as in with no conflict of interest?”

The Chief Finance Officer provided the following response,

“The Local Government Act 2020 requires Council to prepare a financial statement and performance statement for audit by the Victorian Auditor General. Pursuant to section 10 of the Audit Act 1994, these statements of Council as a public body must be audited by the Auditor General a) from time to time; and b) at least once in each year. The Audit Act 1994 authorises VAGO to appoint an audit service provider (accounting firm) to assist in the conduct of the audit. For the year ending 2023, this accounting firm was Crowe Horwath which concluded the last audit of a five-year engagement. Both VAGO and or the appointed accounting firm are completely independent to Council. VAGO independence is established by the Constitution Act 1975 and in conducting the audit, the Auditor-General, their staff and delegates comply with all the applicable independence requirements required by the Australian Auditing Standards issued by the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board.”

Yvette of Cheltenham asked,

“In the last month a high glass barrier approx. 14cm high with a locked door (reported cost \$40,000) was erected to separate Kingston Councillors physically from the people in the few seating rows available to the public opposite the Councillors. In the light of the fact that over the past 6 months only about 4-10 people aged in their 60s, 70s & 80s have attended Council meetings, is this \$40,000 barrier because the CEO & the Councillors are afraid of some danger to themselves, perhaps from these elderly ratepayers?”

The General Manager Customer and Corporate Support provided the following response,

“Council decided to install the glass balustrade following a rash of incidents across Melbourne earlier this year, including at neighbouring Monash City Council in April, in which council meetings had to be cancelled, postponed, or moved online due to disruptive gallery behaviour. In terms of procurement, this was an operational decision of Officers, not of Councillors.”

Larisa of Bentleigh East asked,

“At the October 23 Council meeting your agenda revealed your transport strategy which empowered “walking and cycling” as being its highest top priority where your future policies will actively discourage dangerous car use by 90% of Kingston residents who use the car as their preferred mode of transport because of climate change congestion -> emission issues. Doesn't this plan discriminate against the aged, infirm who cannot walk or ride bikes, and mothers with children who have no choice but to use the car around their neighbourhood? Your transport policy states (page 30) that the older a person gets (18.6% are >65 years), the LESS the elderly will need cars. Isn't this the reverse of truth, in that the older the person, the more they need to drive or be driven by carers to maintain social connectedness and wellbeing and have access to nearby medical systems?”

The General Manager Infrastructure and Open Space provided the following response,

“The 2021 Census indicates that Kingston has a higher proportion of residents aged 65 or older than Greater Melbourne. As our community ages, it's important to explore travel options that cater to everyone's needs. Recognising the significance of maintaining independence, our Road Safety Strategy offers tips for older drivers to navigate safely or explore alternative transport methods. The Walking and Cycling plan focusses on enhancing Kingston's accessibility for walking and cycling, recognising that these modes often constitute the final part of people's journeys. Additionally, we value resident feedback and are actively working to enhance accessible parking where it's needed. This will be further assessed through our upcoming accessibility audits in activity centres, as outlined in the Walking and Cycling Plan.”

Roslyn of Cheltenham asked,

“In the last Council meeting (October 23) Kingston Councillors discussed and approved the erection of new LGBTQ flagpoles & the public flying of these flags on top of the 3 high profile Council buildings in Kingston at a cost of \$24,000. However, it is not clear to the public whether you will eventually proceed to do this to all 15 Council buildings estimated at a cost of \$300,000 & further at the Mentone RSL & Attenborough Park for \$40,000. Please clarify if council intend to put LGBTQ flags on more than the nominated 3 buildings encompassed by that \$24,000 and what is the final total cost of erecting all these flags and their ongoing yearly maintenance?”

The General Manager Community Strengthening provided the following response,

“Council endorsed the Civic Flag Policy and the installation of additional flagpoles at Cheltenham Civic Centre, Mentone Council Office and Kingston City Hall. There is currently no Council resolution to install more flagpoles at other sites. Per the previous resolution, the Rainbow Flag will be flown at the three locations during MidSumma Festival and on IDAHOBIT Day.”

Graeme of Beaumaris asked,

“Does Kingston Council have plans for the community to unite us all, and celebrate Australia Day with Council organised public BBQ’s, gatherings and citizenship ceremonies?”

The General Manager Community Strengthening provided the following response,

“Kingston Council celebrates the Mordialloc Summer Carnival through supporting the annual allocation of a permit in accordance with the Commercial Use of Council Land Policy. This has been in place for the past eight years. The Carnival holds fireworks on both New Years Eve and 26 January and is a well known and much loved event for the Kingston Community. In regards to Citizenship Ceremonies, as a report is before Council for consideration this evening, I am unable to respond to that part of the question.”

Colin asked,

“Why was the new bin at Elder Street changed at the park? It was perfectly fine as it was.”

The General Manager Infrastructure and Open Space provided the following response,

“The fence at Elder Street South reserve had to be moved as part of the reserve works, which resulted in the previous bin surrounds protruding onto the path. They were replaced with slightly smaller bin surrounds in a style that compliments the other furniture throughout the reserve. The original bin surrounds have been reused at another location.”

Rosemary West of Edithvale asked,

“Question 1: Council adopted policy to have all development sites of 4000 sq m assessed for native vegetation by a consultant ecologist after officers failed to notice the significant native vegetation on 17 Tarella Road before Council approved the subdivision of the site in 2016: Why was 28-38 Canterbury Road, Braeside, which is known to have significant remnant vegetation of the EVC Plains Grassy Wetland approved for subdivision and removal of native vegetation (item 7.2 of tonight’s agenda) without any such consultant’s assessment, thus breaching Clause 52.17 (Native Vegetation Management Framework) of the Victorian Planning Scheme and possibly the Commonwealth Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation (EPBC) Act? *Plains Grassy Wetland Plains Grassy Wetland, corresponds with Seasonal Herbaceous Wetlands {Freshwater} of the Temperate Lowland Plains, a critically endangered community under the EPBC Act 1999.*

Question 2: As the Open Space Strategy on tonight’s agenda (Item 9.1) recommends Council consider purchasing vacant land in the vicinity of Canterbury Road for a reserve, will Council now engage an independent ecologist to assess 28-38 Canterbury Road and other nearby vacant sites with a view to recommending which if any parts of this land could be acquired as a passive recreation and nature conservation reserve and ask the landowner to defer any further clearing of the creekside part of the site while this assessment is undertaken and considered by Council?”

The General Manager Planning and Place provided the following response,
“Question 1: the advice received is that the application involving Industrial zoned land was accompanied by a native vegetation assessment prepared by Nature Advisory in July 2019. The report concluded that a permit was required pursuant to Clause 52.17 for the removal of scattered plants (a light scattering of rush species) but no offsets were required for their removal. I understand the Manager City Development has previously spoken with Ms. West about this matter and acknowledged that although the matter was reviewed by Council Officers though it was not further peer reviewed.

Question 2: the Open Space Strategy has a significant number of actions for each suburb in the municipality. The action which Council is progressing closest to the subject site is advocating to Melbourne Water to pursue the ‘potential for a new landscape wetland area south of Canterbury Road Industrial Estate’. This land was set aside for future open space when the Industrial Land was originally subdivided. The other project identified in the open space strategy relating to land acquisition in the area relates to land around Bate Drive Braeside which is approximately 500 metres to the east. As per page 108 of the Strategy this section of Braeside is not a ‘gap’ – it does have access within 1,000 meters. Councils initial priority should be on increasing provision to those areas with gaps, those areas which do not have access to open space within 1,000 metres.”

Anne of Cheltenham asked,

“Regarding the Transport Plan; ‘Walking, Cycling 2023-2028’ (Agenda 23 Oct 23), you write change behaviour of the vast majority of peoples preferred method of transport away from cars, by punitive measures such as treating the car and drivers as inherently dangerous and unsafe when mixed with pedestrians and cyclists and so you will relocate existing road space away from cards and further reduce speed limits. This increases congestion, traffic jams and emissions in our activity centres as vehicles will travel slower. Doesn’t this increase time delays and frustration for residents, especially families with small children who have no options but to use their card, thereby drastically reducing their amenity and ability to move about efficiently?”

The General Manager Infrastructure and Open Space provided the following response,

“The primary objective of the Walking and Cycling plan is to make walking and cycling more attractive and preferable as modes of transport, particularly for short local trips. Currently, our focus includes addressing missing links in walking and cycling networks and improving accessibility across challenging roads. We recognise the importance of achieving a balanced outcome for all road users and this will be a consideration in assessing congestion and behaviours when designing the traffic treatments. By promoting walking, cycling, and public transport as preferred options for travel, we aim to create a more efficient road network which will help to enhance travel time and ease congestion.”

Tony asked,

“Have you given any consideration on lateral through to chemtrails and the HAAR system that are currently and broadly used in Australia and other countries globally, before declaring this ideology of climate change emergency? It strongly appears that Kingston Council is following/adopting world economic forum policies, without any critical thinking, or taking other factors into consideration. Stopping the manipulation of weather patterns, and release the poisonous/toxic chemicals via planes, would stop the catastrophic manipulating of our weather and perhaps further damages to our climate. If you are not educated about weather manipulation program, that means you are not qualified to do any decisions for weather changing emergency response for our community.”

The General Manager Planning and Place provided the following response,

“I would like to thank Tony for his question and reinforce that at the core of Councils Climate and Ecological Emergency Response Plan following its climate declaration are actions that reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The Council is not aware of any credible material that indicates that actions such as working with the community to make buildings more energy efficient, providing new infrastructure to give people of all ages and mobilities more transport choices and using vegetation to provide further cooling, or habitat, or to sequester carbon from the atmosphere are endeavours that do not directly benefit the community. These actions are not motivated by World Economic Forum policies they are actions that the Council believes provide ‘strong’ and ‘sustained’ benefits for people that choose to live, work and recreate in the City of Kingston. Should further concern exist regarding the conduct of Aviation in Australian Airspace this concern should be raised with the appropriate Commonwealth Government regulator.”

Stephen asked,

“Question 1: This question was not be read out as it was assessed as being objectionable in accordance with clause 39.10.2 of the Governance Rules .

Question 2: Our children and all of us stand up under the Australian flag as respect for their parents and grandparents fought for our freedom from our foes under that Australian flag. Flags that fly alongside our Australian flag should only represent us as a collective, not based on anyone’s personal sexual orientation. Can you indicate to me why our LGBTQI+ community is permitted to fly this personal flag that we are all called to stand under?”

The General Manager Community Strengthening provided the following response,

“In May 2021, Council endorsed to fly the Rainbow Flag from Council buildings on LGBTQIA+ awareness days, as part of their commitment to the Rainbow Local Government campaign.”

Mary asked,

“On this new question form for the public to write relevant questions and concerns, it states that the public can drop completed forms in the question box by 7.30pm on the day of the ordinary meeting. However, the rules for public meeting now seem to be changing every month. It appears now it need to be dropped by 3.30pm. What’s the purpose of this contradiction? The public have lives too, and are not able to run back & forth. Are you doing this deliberately? Your rules lack insight and are somewhat disrespectful to the public. The public would also be grateful for transparency in regards to answers to any of their questions or concerns on the question forms.”

The General Manager Customer and Corporate Support provided the following response,

“Thank you for your question. At the 25 September Ordinary Council meeting, Council resolved to adopt updated Governance Rules, which amongst other things updated the conditions for the submission of questions for public question time at Council meetings. The submission deadline was changed from 7.30pm to 3pm and reflects the standard amongst neighbouring councils after benchmarking was undertaken during the review of the governance rules. Kingston’s public website was updated accordingly to reflect the new changes to the governance rules, including a new version of the hard copy form which stipulates the amended conditions of public question time. Updated hard copy public question time forms can also be found in the Council chamber foyer. Alternatively, should you miss the submission deadline for the upcoming Ordinary Council meeting, you may direct your question to info@kingston.vic.gov.au and the appropriate officer will respond to your question.”

Diana asked,

“Last month you released the Planned SRL Tunnel and Train Station map depicting an imaginary magical 20-minute neighbourhood zone surrounding theme. Why is the Heatherton not shown on this map and not showing the Planned Train Stabling Yard? How long are you going to keep residents in the dark without knowledge of this horrendous plan?”

The General Manager Planning and Place provided the following response,

“The Council last month resolved to make a submission in relation to the initial stage of precinct planning work the Suburban Rail Loop Authority are undertaking, which involved a Precincts Discussion Paper it released. The Discussion Paper was released and prepared by the Suburban Rail Loop Authority and Council has had no involvement in its publication. The publication makes mention of the 20-minute neighbourhood which is reflective of State Government policy. The planning for the Stabling Yard is understood to not be part of the Precinct Structure Planning work which is possibly the reason it was not featured in this SRL document. The Council is not trying to keep anyone ‘in the dark’ regarding the Suburban Rail Loop Project and these matters have been the subject of broad community consultation by the Suburban Rail Loop Authority. The project has been the subject of ongoing reporting to the Council through its Council Meetings.”

Simon asked,

“Could you please provide detailed information on the total amount of money spent on Aboriginal smoking ceremonies and welcome to country events in the years 2022 and 2023? Specifically, I am interested in: 1. A breakdown of the total funds allocated and spent on these ceremonies and events for each year. 2. The names of the individuals, groups, or organizations to whom these payments were made. 3. The criteria used for selecting these service providers. 4. Any relevant documentation or contracts related to these expenditures.”

The General Manager Community Strengthening provided the following response,

“In regards to Question 1, I am unable to provide the financial information this evening as it requires time to collate, however this will be provided in writing. In regards to Questions 2 & 3, Council works with Bunurong Land Council for ceremonies. Bunurong Land Council is the only registered Aboriginal Party within Kingston, and the State Government requires ceremonies to be conducted only by Registered Aboriginal Parties. In regards to Question 4, Council does not currently have contractual obligations for ceremonies undertaken by Bunurong Land Council.”

7. Planning and Place Reports

7.1 KP-2020/420 - Mentone Life Saving Club Clubhouse 66 Bay Trail Mentone

Cr Howe returned to the meeting at 7:58pm.

Moved: Cr Davies

Seconded: Cr Cochrane

That the Planning Committee determine to support the proposal and issue an amended Planning Permit to use of the land for an innominate use (Life saving club) and place of assembly, reduce the car parking requirements of Clause 52.06 and removal of native vegetation pursuant to Clause 52.17 at No. 66 Bay Trail, Mentone (Mentone Life Saving Club), subject to the following conditions:

1. Before any permitted clearing of native vegetation starts, amended plans to the satisfaction of the responsible authority must be submitted to and approved by the responsible authority. When approved, the plans will be endorsed and will form part of this permit. All works constructed or carried out must be in accordance with the endorsed plan. The plans must be drawn to scale with dimensions and georeferenced that clearly show:
 - a) the provision of a landscape plan in accordance with the submitted development plan, with such plans to be prepared by a suitably qualified landscape professional to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority and incorporating:
 - i. A planting schedule of all proposed trees and shrubs, including botanical names, common names, pot sizes, sizes at maturity, and quantities of each plant;
 - ii. A survey, including, botanical names of all existing trees to be retained on the site including Tree Protection Zones calculated in accordance with AS4970-2009;
 - iii. 460 square metres of plants from EVC 161 Coastal Headland Scrub with the percentage of each plant consistent with the *Department of Sustainability and Environment EVC/Bioregion Benchmark for Vegetation Quality Assessment, Gippsland Plain Bioregion*;
 - iv. A minimum of 5 *Allocasuarina verticillate* (Drooping She-oak), planted at a minimum 2 metres in height on the subject site and an additional 15 *Allocasuarina verticillate* (Drooping She-oak) or other species that is deemed appropriate by Council to be planted at a nearby reserve or park;
 - v. Notes regarding site preparation, including the removal of all weeds, proposed mulch, soil types and thickness, subsoil preparation and any specific maintenance requirements; and
 - vi. Tree protection measures accurately drawn to scale and labelled as per the endorsed Tree Management Plan.
 - b) The location of tree protection measures illustrated to scale and labeled on the Ground Floor Plan as per the endorsed Tree Management Plan.
 - c) Any changes as required by condition 6.
 - d) The intersection of the shared user path and beach access way ramp narrowed where possible to improve safety between pedestrians and

vehicles to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

- e) The provision of a corner visual splay for the beach access ramp with the shared user path in accordance with Clause 52.06-9 or other suitable arrangement to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.

Endorsed plans

- 2. The use and native vegetation removal as shown on the endorsed plans must not be altered without the written consent of the Responsible Authority.
- 3. The landscaping shown on the endorsed plans must be maintained to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority, including that any dead, diseased or damaged plants are to be replaced.

Cultural Heritage Management Plan

- 4. All works must be carried out in accordance with the Cultural Heritage Management Plan 15870 dated 29 June 2020 prepared by Biosis.

Trees to be retained

- 5. The retention of the *Banksia integrifolia* (Coast Banksia) identified as trees numbered 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 in the Treescape Consulting report dated September 2020.

Tree Management and Protection Plan

- 6. Concurrent with the endorsement of plans in condition 1, a Tree Management Plan prepared by a suitably qualified arborist in accordance with AS4970-2009, must be submitted to and be endorsed by the Responsible Authority and incorporating:
 - a. A Tree Management Plan (written report) must provide details of:
 - i. Any non-destructive root investigation undertaken to determine the location and distribution of roots of trees nominated on the Tree Protection Plan.
 - ii. Proposed construction methods for the carpark within the Tree Protection Zone nominated on the Tree Protection Plan.
 - iii. How excavation impacts, including soil level changes, on trees to be retained will be managed.
 - iv. How the canopy of trees nominated on the Tree Protection Plan will be protected.
 - v. Any other measures required to demonstrate the successful ongoing retention and viability post-construction of any trees nominated on the Tree Protection Plan.
 - b. A Tree Protection Plan (scale drawing) must provide details of:
 - i. The Tree Protection Zone and Structural Root Zone, calculated in accordance with AS4970-2009, for all trees to be retained on the site and for all trees on neighbouring properties where the Tree Protection Zone falls partially within the subject site.
 - ii. Tree protection fencing, or ground protection where required, provided in accordance with AS4970-2009.
 - iii. Stages of development at which inspections are required to ensure tree protection measures are adhered to must be specified.
 - iv. Appropriate signage on any tree protection fencing prohibiting

- access, excavation, changes in soil levels, or any storage within the Tree Protection Zone in accordance with AS4970-2009 unless with the prior written consent and under the direct supervision of the consulting arborist.
- v. Maintenance of the area(s) within the Tree Protection Zone in accordance with AS4970-2009.
 - vi. Any pruning to be undertaken being in accordance with AS4373-2007.
 - vii. A notation to refer to the Tree Management Plan.
7. All protection measures identified in the Tree Management Plan must be implemented, and development works undertaken on the land must be undertaken in accordance with the Tree Management Plan, to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority.
 8. Prior to the commencement of works, the name and contact details of the project arborist responsible for implementing the Tree Management Plan must be submitted to the Responsible Authority.

Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning

9. The works are to be carried out generally in accordance with:
 - The application 'MENTONE LIFESAVING CLUB REDEVELOPMENT' received on 28/07/2020 and the further information received on 7 September 2020 and 12 October 2020; prepared by Kingston City Council.
 - The plans titled Project H18021 Mentone LSC prepared by HEDE Architect version TP1 date 2020.07.08.
10. Any modification to the works proposed will require further approval by the Regional Director, Port Phillip Region, Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP).
11. Prior to works commencing, a construction environmental management plan must be prepared to the satisfaction of Kingston City Council.
12. Any required beach nourishment as recommended in the Coastal Hazard Vulnerability Assessment dated 04/09/2020 will be the responsibility of Kingston City Council.
13. Any required maintenance or upgrades to the bluestone seawall will be the responsibility of Kingston City Council.
14. All revegetation must utilise indigenous species.
15. Indigenous vegetation (other than approved by this consent) must not be damaged or removed as a result of the works.
16. Any clearing or construction activity associated with the works, should be carried out in accordance with the EPA Publication No. 275 *Construction Techniques for Sediment Pollution Control* (May 1991).
17. The construction site must be managed in accordance with EPA Publication No. 981 *Reducing Stormwater Pollution from Construction Sites* (May 2005).
18. Construction equipment, building materials, refuse and site run-off must be contained and controlled and not permitted to impact on the beach or enter Port Phillip Bay.

19. All works must be completed and maintained to the satisfaction of Kingston City Council.
20. This consent under the *Marine and Coastal Act 2018* will expire if the works are not completed within two years of the date of issue, unless an extension of time is applied for and granted by the Regional Director, Port Phillip Region, DELWP.

Native Vegetation Removal Conditions

21. Before works start, the permit holder must advise all persons undertaking the vegetation removal or works on site of all relevant permit conditions and associated statutory requirements or approvals.
22. The total area of native vegetation proposed to be removed totals 0.074 hectares comprising patches of Coastal Headland Scrub (EVC161) and Berm Grassy Shrubland (EVC311).
23. To offset the permitted clearing in accordance with Guidelines for the removal, destruction or lopping of native vegetation (DELWP 2017), the permit holder must secure general offset of 0.016 general habitat units:
 - a. located within the Port Phillip and Westernport Catchment Management boundary or Kingston municipal area.
 - b. with a minimum strategic biodiversity score of at least 0.298.
24. Before any native vegetation is removed, evidence that the required offset by this permit has been secured must be provided to the satisfaction of the responsible authority. This evidence must be one or both of the following:
 - a. an established first party offset site including a security agreement signed by both parties, and a management plan detailing the 10-year management actions and ongoing management of the site, and/or
 - b. credit extract(s) allocated to the permit from the Native Vegetation Credit Register.
25. A copy of the offset evidence will be endorsed by the responsible authority and form part of this permit. Within 30 days of endorsement of the offset evidence, a copy of the endorsed offset evidence must be provided to Planning Approvals at the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Port Phillip regional office.
26. Where the offset includes a first party offset(s), the permit holder must provide an annual offset site report to the responsible authority by the anniversary date of the execution of the offset security agreement, for a period of 10 consecutive years. After the tenth year, the landowner must provide a report at the reasonable request of a statutory authority.
27. Within 6 months of the conclusion of the permitted clearing of native vegetation under this permit, the offset requirements can be reconciled with the written agreement of the responsible authority and the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning.
28. A suitably qualified wildlife handler or zoologist is to be present when felling trees/removing native vegetation, to ensure affected wildlife is not harmed. If displayed wildlife that cannot be relocated on site to an appropriate location away from the construction footprint, or injured wildlife is captured, please contact DELWP on 136 186 for further advice.
29. Prior to the commencement of works a Tree Management Plan be prepared for the approval of the responsible authority, that includes measures to ensure the protection and retention of trees along Beach Road, as described in the

Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Treescape Consulting, September 2020).

30. Within the area of native vegetation to be retained and any tree protection zone associated with the permitted development, the following is prohibited:
- a. Any vehicle or pedestrian access, trenching or soil excavation, and
 - b. Storage or dumping of any soils, materials, equipment, vehicles, machinery or waste products, and
 - c. Entry or exit pits for underground services, and
 - d. Any other actions or activities that may result in adverse impacts to retained native vegetation.
31. Prior to the commencement of the use, replacement planting and re-vegetation of the site must occur to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority. All planting must occur under the direction and supervision of the City of Kingston's Foreshore Team.

Place of assembly use

32. A Third Party Hire Agreement must be prepared for any external hire of the building and submitted to the satisfaction of the responsible authority in accordance with Kingston City Council's *Lease and Licence Policy 2018* (or as amended time to time). The Agreement will then be endorsed and form part of the permit. This Agreement must include, but is not limited to the following information:
- a. The suggested strategies for the management of patrons departing the premises;
 - b. A section that provides for a nominated person and contact details for each event; and
 - c. A copy of the planning permit.
33. A register must be prepared which manages and records any complaints regarding the operation of the premises during events held by third parties. The register must be held on-site and available to inspect at any time by the responsible authority.
34. The place of assembly must only operate during the following hours unless with the written consent of the Responsible Authority:
- | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|
| Sunday – Thursday | 5.00am – 10.30 pm |
| Friday – Saturday | 5.00am – 12 midnight |
35. The place of assembly use must not exceed a maximum number of 180 patrons on the premises at any one time.
36. Functions held at the venue on a Friday or Saturday must not operate later than 11.30pm on the day of the function, with the building vacated by 12 midnight on these days.

Venue Management Plan

37. Prior to the commencement of the use, a Venue Management Plan must be submitted the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority. The Management Plan must address, but is not limited to the following:
- a) Management Responsibilities

- b) Emergency Management
- c) Patron Management
- d) Identification of areas of the building available for events
- e) Safety and Security Measures
- f) Noise Control
- g) Incident Recording / Reporting
- h) Complaints Recording / Reporting
- i) Parking Management
- j) Alcohol Consumption Permit Requirements

General amenity conditions

38. The amenity of the area must not be detrimentally affected by the use, through the:
- a) Transport of materials, goods or commodities to or from the land.
 - b) Appearance of any building, works or materials.
 - c) Emission of noise, artificial light, vibration, smell, fumes, smoke, vapour, steam,
 - d) soot, ash, dust, waste water, waste products, grit or oil.
 - e) Presence of vermin.
 - f) Any other way.
39. Outdoor lighting must be designed, baffled and located to the satisfaction of the Responsible Authority to prevent any adverse effect on adjoining land.
40. The use of the site shall not cause nuisance or be detrimental to the amenity of the neighbourhood by the emission of noise. In this regard any nuisance shall be assessed in accordance with the Australian Standards A51055 and A52107 relating to the measurement of Environmental Noise and recommended sound levels.

Time Limits

41. In accordance with Section 68 of the Planning and Environment Act 1987 (The Act), this permit will expire if one of the following circumstances applies:
- The use and works are not started before two (2) years from date of this permit.
 - The works are not completed before four (4) years from the date of permit issue.
 - The use is discontinued for a period of two (2) years.

In accordance with Section 69 of the Planning and Environment Act 1987, an application may be submitted to the responsible authority for an extension of the periods referred to in this condition.

Note: Prior to the commencement of the development you are required to obtain the necessary Building Permit.

Note: All buildings and works must be carried out in accordance with the approved Cultural Heritage Management Plan as required by the *Aboriginal Heritage Act*

**City of Kingston
Ordinary Council Meeting**

Minutes

20 November 2023

2006. A copy of the approved CHMP must be held on site during the construction activity

THIS PERMIT HAS BEEN AMENDED PURSUANT TO SECTION 72 OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT ACT 1987 AS FOLLOWS:

Amendment	Date of Amendment	Description of Amendment	Name of responsible authority that approved the amendment
A	(Date to be the same as Date Amended)	<p>Amendment A relates to considered plans Prepared by Jeavons Landscape Architects, drawing no. WD01, WD02, WD3.1-WD3.9 & WD04-WD10, revision A (dated 31/08/2023), submitted to Council on 08/09/2023.</p> <p>The scope of changes pertaining to Amendment A include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend condition 1 a) iv. to change the number of required trees to be planted on-site. • Amend the landscape plan to reflect the modified tree numbers. 	City of Kingston

CARRIED

A Division was Called:

DIVISION:

FOR: Crs Staikos, Davey-Burns, Bearsley, Cochrane, Davies, Hill, Howe, Hua and Saab (9)

AGAINST: Crs Eden and Oxley (2)

CARRIED

7.2 Town Planning Application Decisions - October 2023

Moved: Cr Davies

Seconded: Cr Hua

That the report be noted.

CARRIED unanimously

7.3 PT-2023/289 Lot 1 Centre Road Oakleigh South - Commonwealth Golf Course - Tree Removal Application

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Hill

That Council:

1. Support grant of a Local Law Permit for those Eighty-Six (86) trees outlined in Sections 4.4 & 4.5 of this report where the Recommendation is to remove pursuant to the assessment criteria contained within Clause 42 of the Community Local Law and provide for replacement planting at a ratio of at least 3:1 for each tree proposed to be removed.
2. Do not support the grant of a Local Law Permit for those Six (6) trees outlined in Sections 4.6 of this report where the Recommendation is to retain pursuant to the assessment criteria contained within Clause 42 of the Community Local Law.

CARRIED

A Division was Called:

DIVISION:

FOR: Crs Staikos, Davey-Burns, Bearsley, Cochrane, Davies, Hill, Howe, Hua and Saab (9)

AGAINST: Crs Eden and Oxley (2)

CARRIED

7.4 Aviation Update including Kingston's Response to the Green Paper

Moved: Cr Davies

Seconded: Cr Saab

Cr Howe left the meeting at 8:26pm.

Cr Eden left the meeting at 8:26pm.

Cr Eden returned to the meeting at 8:27pm.

Cr Howe returned to the meeting at 8:31pm.

That Council:

1. Endorse the submission at Appendix 1 in response to the Aviation Green Paper and formally lodge it with the Commonwealth Government;
2. Write to the Hon. Catherine King, Minister for the Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communication advocating for legislative

**City of Kingston
Ordinary Council Meeting**

Minutes

20 November 2023

changes to the *Airports Act 1996*; and

3. Note the work undertaken on the approved Moorabbin Airport Masterplan and the work commenced on the review of Aviation at a Commonwealth level has superseded the need for an Aviation Support Policy.

CARRIED unanimously

8. Community Strengthening Reports

Note: Item 8.1 was considered prior to Item 6.

8.2 Citizenship Ceremonies 2024

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Saab

That Council:

1. Facilitate the January Citizenship Ceremony on 26 January 2024, in accordance with the Australian Citizenship Ceremonies code and the City of Kingston Citizenship Policy; and
2. Endorse Council officers to undertake further community consultation to gather insights and perspectives from key stakeholders and the entire community, which will inform future recommendations relating to this matter.

CARRIED

8.3 Response to Resolution - Notice of Motion No. 9/2023 - Mordi Fest Review

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Saab

That Council:

1. Receive the report; and
2. Having considered all aspects raised in this report, continue to present Mordi Fest in its current model as a two-day festival, without expansion.

CARRIED

8.4 Response to Resolution - Notice of Motion No. 9/2022 - Music Festivals

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Cochrane

That Council:

1. Receive the report, and
2. Having considered all aspects raised in this report, not proceed with a Major Outdoor Event Feasibility Study.

CARRIED

8.5 Draft All Abilities Action Plan 2024-2028

Moved: Cr Bearsley

Seconded: Cr Davies

That Council:

1. Note the results of the co-design and Stage 1 community consultation activities that contributed to the development of the draft All Abilities Action Plan 2024-2028; and
2. Endorse the release of the draft All Abilities Action Plan 2024-2028, as presented at Appendix 1, for a public exhibition period.

Cr Cochrane left the meeting at 8:59pm.

CARRIED unanimously

9. Infrastructure and Open Space Reports

9.1 Open Space Strategy

Moved: Cr Saab

Seconded: Cr Staikos

That Council endorse the Open Space Strategy for adoption.

Cr Cochrane returned to the meeting at 9:02pm.

Amendment

Moved: Cr Bearsley

That the Motion be adopted with the addition of the following point 2:

2. Endorse that the Open Space Strategy under Braeside be updated to include the wording: *That Council continue to work with Melbourne Water to deliver a wetland to treat water from the Dunlop's Drain before discharging into Mordialloc Creek.*

The Amendment was accepted by the Mover and Seconder

Amendment

Moved: Cr Howe

That the Motion be adopted with the addition of the following point 3:

3. With the action for Carrum being to expressly delete Actions A1-3 and any reference to these outcomes.

The Amendment LAPSED for want of a Seconder

Amendment

Moved: Cr Oxley

That the Motion be adopted with the addition of the following point 3:

3. Update the gap analysis maps for each suburb to provide further clarity on the types of open space that are available within 400 metre and 1000 metre walking catchments (and any other minor inaccuracies in maps to be corrected).

The Amendment was accepted by the Mover and Seconder

Amendment

Moved: Cr Howe

That the Motion be adopted with the addition of the following point 4:

4. For the suburb profile of Carrum around the ground car parking at Roy Dore Reserve is to be maintained and any action in the strategy that is indifferent is to be removed.

Cr Hua left the meeting at 9.19pm.

The Amendment LAPSED for want of a Seconder

Cr Howe left the meeting at 9:22pm and did not return.

Cr Hua returned to the meeting at 9.22pm.

The Motion was put and CARRIED unanimously

The Resolution reads as follows:

That Council:

1. Endorse the Open Space Strategy for adoption; and
2. Endorse that the Open Space Strategy under Braeside be updated to include the wording: *That Council continue to work with Melbourne Water to deliver a wetland to treat water from the Dunlop's Drain before discharging into Mordialloc Creek.*
3. Update the gap analysis maps for each suburb to provide further clarity on the types of open space that are available within 400 metre and 1000 metre walking catchments (and any other minor inaccuracies in maps to be corrected).

CARRIED

9.2 Pavilion Policy and Design Guidelines - Consultation Outcomes and Adoption

Moved: Cr Bearsley

Seconded: Cr Davies

That Council:

1. Note the stakeholder and community feedback received during consultation activities; and
2. Adopt the draft Pavilion Assessment and Prioritisation Policy and Sporting Reserve Pavilion Design Guidelines, as set out in Appendices 1 and 2.

CARRIED unanimously

9.3 Contract Contingency Delegation - Various Contracts

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Bearsley

That Council:

1. Approve the additional contingency amount of \$500,000 (which includes \$200,000 reallocated from within project budget) to Contract CON 22/086 with 2Construct Pty Ltd for the construction of the GR Bricker Reserve Pavilion;
2. Approve the additional contingency amount of \$325,000 to Contract CON 22/096 with 2Construct Pty Ltd for the construction of the Regents Park Pavilion;
3. Approve the additional contingency amount of \$250,000 to CON 20/132 with Ireland Brown Construction for the construction of the Mentone Life Saving Club;
4. Approve the additional contingency amount of \$130,000 to CON 22/132 with Simbuilt Pty Ltd for the Chelsea Men's Shed project; and
5. Note that the additional contingency amounts will be funded as part of the 23/24 capital works budget review process.

CARRIED

9.4 Applications - Sport and Recreation Victoria - Local Sports Infrastructure Fund 2023

Moved: Cr Oxley

Seconded: Cr Saab

That Council:

1. Support the submission of the following projects as applications to the Victorian Government's Sport and Recreation Victoria's Local Sports Infrastructure Fund 2023/24:
 - a) Sports Lighting upgrade at Beazley Reserve, Chelsea Heights; and
 - b) Sports Lighting installation at Dane Road Reserve, Moorabbin.
2. Commit to providing the necessary funding in the 2024/25 capital budget to deliver the projects if the funding applications are successful.

CARRIED

9.5 Award of Contract CON-23/102 - Chelsea Bicentennial Park Netball Court Upgrade and Associated Works

Moved: Cr Oxley

Seconded: Cr Davies

That Council:

1. Note the outcome of the tender assessment process for Contract No.23/102 Chelsea Bicentennial Park Netball Court Upgrade & Associated Works as set out in confidential Appendix 1 attached to this report;
2. Award Contract No. 23/102 Chelsea Bicentennial Park Netball Court Upgrade & Associated Works for the fixed lump sum price of \$2,173,117.00 (exclusive of GST) to Contek Constructions Pty Ltd; and
3. Approve the allocation of a separate contingency of up to 10% of the contract sum and delegate authority to the CEO or delegate to expend this allowance to ensure the successful completion of the project.

CARRIED

9.6 Award of Contract CON-23/035 - Parkdale Yacht Club Accessible Pedestrian Ramp to Foreshore & Solar Lighting

Moved: Cr Cochrane

Seconded: Cr Davies

That Council:

1. Note the outcome of the tender assessment process for Contract No. 23/035 Parkdale Yacht Club Accessible Pedestrian Ramp to Foreshore & Solar Lighting as set out in confidential Appendix 1 attached to this report;
2. Award Contract No. 23/035 - Parkdale Yacht Club Accessible Pedestrian Ramp to Foreshore & Solar Lighting for the fixed lump sum price \$912,631.18 (exclusive of GST) to Ace Landscape Services Pty Ltd subject to satisfactory financial guarantees being in place; and
3. Approve the allocation of a separate contingency of up to 10% of the contract

sum and delegate authority to the CEO or delegate to expend this allowance to ensure the successful completion of the project.

CARRIED

9.7 Award of Contract CON-23/126 Dingley Reserve Pavilion project

Moved: Cr Hua

Seconded: Cr Staikos

That Council:

1. Note the outcome of the tender assessment process for Contract CON 23/126 – Dingley Reserve Pavilion (turnaround) project, as set out in confidential Appendix 1 (attached);
2. Award Contract CON 23/126 – Dingley Reserve Pavilion project for the final adjusted fixed lump sum price of \$4,199,280 (exclusive of GST) to Alchemy Construct Pty Ltd, which includes completion of all works not completed under the previous contract;
3. Approve the allocation of a separate contingency of \$420,000, and delegate authority to the CEO, or delegate, to expend this allowance to ensure the successful completion of the project; and
4. Note that the additional funding required will be funded as part of the 23/24 capital works budget review process.

CARRIED

9.8 Award of Contract CON-23/100 Sign & Street Furniture Maintenance

Moved: Cr Davies

Seconded: Cr Bearsley

That Council:

1. Award Contract No. 23/100 – Sign & Street Furniture Maintenance as a Schedule of Rates Contract to a panel of two (2) including Summerhill Maintenance Services Pty Ltd & Artcraft Pty Ltd, at their tendered rates based upon Council's anticipated work quantities for the initial contract period from 21 November 2023 to 31 October 2025;
2. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer, or their delegate, to exercise the one (1) option to extend the contract for one (1) further period of one (1) year at the completion of the initial contract period, subject to satisfactory performance under one or more categories, to a maximum Contract Term of three (3) years from the commencement date, equating to a total three (3) year estimated contract cost of \$1,100,000 excluding GST; and
3. Authorise the Chief Executive Officer to approve any additional expenditure under this contract as may be required during the term of the contract, subject to budget confirmation.

CARRIED

10. Customer and Corporate Support Reports

10.1 Kingston Performance Report, Quarter One (July to September 2023)

Moved: Cr Saab

Seconded: Cr Cochrane

That Council receive the Year Three Annual Action Plan 2023-24, Quarter One (July to September 2023).

CARRIED

10.2 Civic Recognition Fixtures Policy

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Davies

That Council adopt the Civic Recognition Fixtures Policy.

CARRIED

10.3 Governance and Compliance Report

Moved: Cr Davies

Seconded: Cr Saab

That Council:

1. Receive the Informal Meetings of Councillors Records as attached at Appendices 1-4;
2. Appoint Brad Whynn to the Active Kingston Advisory Committee; and
3. Extend the current Parking Management Policy to 30 June 2024 to enable community engagement and completion of the updated draft Policy.

CARRIED

10.4 Quick Response Grants

Moved: Cr Davies

Seconded: Cr Saab

That Council approve the following Quick Response Grant applications:

- A Path To Follow Inc - \$1296.00
- Legends of the Skies Theatre Inc - \$1500.00
- Nicola Waldron - \$1500.00
- Friends of Karkarook Park Inc - \$758.00
- Kingston Heath Cricket Club Inc - \$1000.00

That Council not approve the following Quick Response Grant application:

- Anglo-Indian Australasian Association of Victoria Inc

CARRIED

11. Chief Finance Office Reports

11.1 2023/24 Budget Outcome - Quarter 1 Review

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Hua

That Council:

1. Approve additional \$9.8 million to the Capital Budget 2023/24, noting that this amount is:
 - 1.1. above the existing approved capital budget;
 - 1.2. recommended to be funded from:
 - Reserve drawdown \$3.7 million
 - Additional capital grants \$0.1 million
 - Additional capital grants \$4.1 million budgeted in previous years but yet received
 - Additional contributions \$0.2 million
 - Capital Reserve \$1.6 million
2. Note that Council has previously approved the land acquisition at 78-82 Governor Road, Mordialloc, to be initially funded from accumulated surplus as approved by Council on 25 September 2023 – which is expected to cost up to \$4 million and is subject to resolution through the Public Acquisition process. Together with the above, requested approvals, the total increase in the Capital Budget in 2023/24 is \$13.8 million
3. Approve deferral of \$11.1 million from the Capital Budget 2023/24 to Capital Budget 2024/25, noting that this amount is not a saving, but a deferral between two budget years.

Cr Cochrane left the meeting at 9:43pm.

CARRIED

11.2 Quarterly Finance Report September 2023

Moved: Cr Staikos

Seconded: Cr Bearsley

That Council note the September 2023 quarterly financial report.

Cr Cochrane returned to the meeting at 9:45pm.

CARRIED

Procedural Motion

Moved: Cr Bearsley

Seconded: Cr Staikos

That the meeting be extended for 30 minutes until 10.30pm.

CARRIED

12. Notices of Motion

12.1 Notice of Motion No. 28/2023 - Cr Oxley - Disability Access Audits

Moved: Cr Oxley

Seconded: Cr Bearsley

Noting the actions in the Kingston Walking and Cycling Plan, that Officers provide a report to Council outlining immediate priorities and timelines for disability access audits in shopping strips.

CARRIED

12.2 Notice of Motion No. 29/2023 - Cr Oxley - Operation Sandon Recommendations

Moved: Cr Oxley

Seconded: Cr Eden

That, to improve transparency, accountability, and accessibility; Council trial the implementation of recording each vote of a Councillor in the minutes.

Cr Staikos left the meeting at 10:01pm.

Cr Staikos returned to the meeting at 10:03pm.

LOST

A Division was Called:

DIVISION:

FOR: Crs Eden and Oxley (2)

AGAINST: Crs Staikos, Bearsley and Hill (3)

ABSTAINED: Crs Davey-Burns, Cochrane, Davies, Hua and Saab (5)

LOST

12.3 Notice of Motion No. 30/2023 - Cr Eden - Questions at Council Meetings

Moved: Cr Eden

Seconded: Cr Oxley

That, considering Council's recent changes to the Governance Rules to limit questions from residents and ratepayers at Council Meetings, Council allow residents, ratepayers or community groups to present at Council Meetings or another publicly streamed meeting/forum.

LOST

A Division was Called:

DIVISION:

FOR: Crs Eden and Oxley (2)

AGAINST: Crs Staikos, Bearsley, Davies, Hill, Hua and Saab (6)

ABSTAINED: Crs Davey-Burns and Cochrane (2)

LOST

6. Urgent Business

There were no items of urgent business.

7. Confidential Items

Nil

The meeting closed at 10.22pm.

Confirmed.....

The Mayor 11 December 2023